BALL JANK TIP

3 1 1 0 8 8 1 3 5

1455 F.Sumi I. NW, Serv. 225 Westernoon D.C. 20005

www.bullanik.com

Trippiose 202 638 3307 Pacson i 202 783 6947

KAR., MORELL

knowlika, do bjillp com

December 9, 2010

E-File

Ms. Cynthia T. Brown
Chief, Section of Administration
Office of Proceedings
Surface Transportation Board
395 E Street S.W.
Washington, DC 20423-0001

ENTEREL Office of Proceedings

rar 9 Par

Pan ul Public Recuti

228404

Re:

STB Docket No. NOR-42121, Total Petrochemicals USA, Inc. v.

CSX Transportation, Inc.

Dear Ms. Brown:

Attached for E-filing is Georgia Woodlands Railroad, LLC's Answer To Second Amended Complaint in the above-referenced proceeding.

If you have any questions, please contact me.

Sincerely yours,

Karl Morell

**Enclosure** 

# BEFORE THE SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

	•
TOTAL PETROCHEMICALS USA, INC.	)
Complainant,	) }
v.	) Docket No. NOR-42121
CSX TRANSPORTATION, INC; CAROLINA	) )
PIEDMONT DIVISION; GEORGIA	)
WOODLANDS RAILROAD, LLC;	j
MADISON RAILROAD; MOHAWK,	)
ADIRONDACK & NORTHERN RAILROAD	j
CORP.; NASHVILLE AND EASTERN	ń
RAILROAD CORP.; NEW HOPE &	j
IVYLAND RAILROAD; PIONEER VALLEY	í
RAILROAD; R.J. CORMAN RAILROAD	í
COMPANY (MEMPHIS); SEMINOLE	ì
GULF RAILWAY L.P.; SEQUATCHIE	,
VALLEY RAILROAD COMPANY; AND	ì
SOUTH BRANCH VALLEY RAILROAD	ž
THE PERSON NAMED TO ASSESS OF THE PE	í
Defendants.	í
i/titalousts	1
* *************************************	,

# ANSWER TO SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

COMES NOW Co-Defendant Georgia Woodlands Railroad, LLC ("Woodlands") and hereby answers Complainant Total Petrochemicals USA, Inc.'s Second Amended Complaint.

Unless specifically admitted, all allegations in Complainant's Second Amended Complaint are denied.

## The Parties

Answering paragraph 1, Woodlands lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny
the allegations contained therein and therefore denies same.

- 2. Answering paragraph 2, Woodlands admits that it is a common and contract carrier by railroad engaged in the transport of property in interstate and intrastate commerce. Woodlands further admits that it may be subject to the Interstate Commission Termination Act of 1995 (the "Act") and to the jurisdiction of the Surface Transportation Board ("Board") to the extent that its conduct might implicate the Act and the jurisdiction of the Board. Woodlands, however, lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny that the Act or the jurisdiction of the Board apply to the instant action and therefore denies the same.
  - 3. Answering paragraphs 3 and 5, Woodlands admits same.
- 4. Answering paragraphs 4 and 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, and 14, Woodlands lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations contained therein and therefore denies same,

#### Description of the Issue Movements

- Answering paragraph 15, Woodlands need not answer as the paragraph contains a legal conclusion to which no response is necessary. To the extent a response is necessary,
   Woodlands denies the allegations in paragraph 15.
- 6. Answering paragraph 16. Woodlands lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations contained therein and therefore denies same.
- 7. Answering paragraph 17, Woodlands admits that it participates in movements between New Orleans, LA and Washington, GA. Woodlands lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations contained paragraph 17 and therefore denies same.

#### The Challenged Rates

8. Answering paragraphs 18, 19, 20, 21 and 22, Woodlands lacks sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations contained therein and therefore denies same.

#### **Jurisdictional Allegations**

9. Answering paragraphs 23, 24 and 25. Woodlands need not answer as the

paragraphs contain legal conclusions to which no response is necessary. To the extent a response is necessary. Woodlands denies the allegations in paragraphs 23, 24 and 25.

## Requested Relief

- 10. Answering paragraphs 26, 27, 28 and 29, Woodlands need not answer as the paragraphs contain legal conclusions to which no response is necessary. To the extent a response is necessary, Woodlands denies that Complainant is entitled to any relief requested in said paragraphs or any relief whatsoever.
- Woodlands denies each and every allegation not previously admitted or otherwise 11. qualified.

Wherefore, Woodlands prays that the relief request be denied.

Respectfully submitted,

KARL MORELL

Of Counsel

MATTHEW C. HOYER

BALL JANIK LLP

Suite 225

1455 F Street, N.W.

Washington, DC 20005

(202) 638-3307

Attorneys for: GEORGIA WOODLANDS

Money

RAILROAD, LLC

Dated: December 9, 2010

# CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that this 9<sup>th</sup> day December 2010, I served a copy of the foregoing Answer. To Second Amended Complaint upon all parties of record by first class mail, postage prepaid.

Karl Morell

Kal Moul